

PATENT APPLICATION FEE DETERMINATION RECORD
Effective October 1, 2001

Application or Docket Number

G-20037829

CLAIMS AS FILED - PART I

(Column 1) (Column 2)

TOTAL CLAIMS	91	
FOR	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA
TOTAL CHARGEABLE CLAIMS	91 minus 20 =	71
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	9 minus 3 =	6
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENT		<input type="checkbox"/>

SMALL ENTITY
TYPE OTHER THAN
OR SMALL ENTITY

RATE	FEES	RATE	FEES
BASIC FEE	370.00	OR	BASIC FEE 740.00
X\$ 9-		OR	X\$18- 18
X42-		OR	X84-
+140-		OR	+280-
TOTAL		OR	TOTAL 158

* If the difference in column 1 is less than zero, enter '0' in column 2

CLAIMS AS AMENDED - PART II

(Column 1) (Column 2) (Column 3)

AMENDMENT	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
Total	20	Minus	21	-
Independent	2	Minus	3	-
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM			<input type="checkbox"/>	

SMALL ENTITY OR OTHER THAN
OR SMALL ENTITY

RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE	RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE
X\$ 9-		X\$18-	
X42-		X84-	
+140-		+280-	
TOTAL ADDT. FEE		TOTAL ADDT. FEE	

5-17-05 (Column 1)

(Column 2) (Column 3)

AMENDMENT	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
Total	20	Minus	21	-
Independent	2	Minus	3	-
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM			<input type="checkbox"/>	

SMALL ENTITY OR OTHER THAN
OR SMALL ENTITY

RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE	RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE
X\$ 9-		X\$18-	
X42-		X84-	
+140-		+280-	
TOTAL ADDT. FEE		TOTAL ADDT. FEE	

7-20-05 (Column 1)

(Column 2) (Column 3)

AMENDMENT	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA
Total	19	Minus	21	-
Independent	2	Minus	3	-
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM			<input type="checkbox"/>	

SMALL ENTITY OR OTHER THAN
OR SMALL ENTITY

RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE	RATE	ADDI- TIONAL FEE
X\$ 9-		X\$18-	
X42-		X84-	
+140-		+280-	
TOTAL ADDT. FEE		TOTAL ADDT. FEE	

* If the entry in column 1 is less than the entry in column 2, write '0' in column 3.

** If the 'Highest Number Previously Paid For' in THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter '20.'

*** If the 'Highest Number Previously Paid For' in THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter '3.'

The 'Highest Number Previously Paid For' (Total or Independent) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in column 1.

Best Available Copy

Jul. 20. 2005 1:51PM INGRASSIA FISHER & LORENZ PC

Appl. No. 10/037,829

Reply to Office Action of March 31, 2005

No. 1289 P. 3

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 20 2005

UTILITY PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.	:	10/037,829	Confirmation No.	6564
Docket No.	:	GP-301120		
Applicant	:	B. Philip Minaudo	Filed:	January 3, 2002
Examiner	:	Y. Young Lee	TC/A.U.:	2613

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at (571)273-6300.

on July 20, 2005 Signature: Laboratory Services

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE ACCOMPANYING REQUEST FOR CONTINUED
EXAMINATION (RCE) PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.114

Mail Stop RCE
Commissioner of Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action of March 31, 2005 and the Advisory Action of May 24, 2005, please amend the above-identified application as follows, and reexamine the application in view of the following amendments and remarks:

07/26/2005 PZIMMER 00000007 502091 10037829
Amendments to the Claims begin on page 2 of this paper.

01 FC:1251 120.00 Remarks begin on page 5 of this paper.

to a controller that activates a camera when exterior ambient light falls below a predetermined threshold value. Thus, because neither Salvio nor McNamara discloses every critical element of claims 1 and 15 and, hence, claims 2-8, 10, 12-14 and 16-21 that depend therefrom, neither Salvio, nor McNamara, nor a combination thereof, renders claims 1-8, 10 and 12-21 obvious.

II. Conclusion

In conclusion, in view of Applicants' remarks, it is respectfully submitted that Claims 1-8, 10 and 12-21 are allowable and that Examiner's rejection under 35 USC § 103 has been overcome. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the application, as amended, is now in condition for allowance, and such allowance is therefore earnestly requested.

If for some reason Applicants have not requested a sufficient extension and/or have not paid a sufficient fee for this response and/or for the extension necessary to prevent abandonment on this application, please consider this a request for an extension for the required time period and/or authorization to charge Deposit Account No. 50-2091 for any fee which may be due.

Respectfully submitted,

INGRASSIA FISHER & LORENZ, P.C.

Dated: July 20, 2005
Customer No. 29,906

By: Deborah Henscheid
Deborah Henscheid
Reg. No. 35,940

REST AVAILABLE COPY